



15 TOP HEALTH SYSTEMS, 2014

COMPETITOR REPORT

CLIENT NAME, CITY, STATE
Date



15 TOP HEALTH SYSTEMS, 2014 OVERVIEW

- Definition of Health System
 - Minimum of two acute-care general hospitals
 - Corporate office or related organization identified on 2012 Cost Report
 - Cardiac, Orthopedic Women's and Critical Access hospitals also included if they had valid data for all required measures
- All systems included, regardless of size, ownership, control, religious affiliation, or geographic distribution of hospitals
- Measures reflect aggregated data across the whole system
- 100 Top Hospitals[®] National Scorecard measures adjusted to be meaningful at system level



15 TOP HEALTH SYSTEMS, 2014 PERFORMANCE DOMAINS

QUALITY	WEIGHT
Risk-Adjusted Mortality Index	1
Risk-Adjusted Complications Index	1
Risk-Adjusted Patient Safety Index	1
Core Measures Mean Percent	1
EXTENDED OUTCOMES	
30 Day Mortality Rates (AMI, HF, PN)	1/6 each
30 Day Readmission Rates (AMI, HF, PN)	1/6 each
EFFICIENCY	
Severity-Adjusted Average Length of Stay	1
PATIENT PERCEPTION OF CARE	
HCAHPS Score (Patient Overall Hospital Rating)	1

Note: Trend data for 30 Day Mortality & Readmission rates not available

15 TOP HEALTH SYSTEMS, 2014

DATA SOURCES FOR CURRENT & TREND

Measure	Data Source/Data Period
Mortality, Complications Indexes	MedPAR Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2009-2012 <i>(Two years data, combined, for each study year)*</i>
Mean Patient Safety Index <i>(10 PSIs in current and trend profiles)</i>	MedPAR FFY 2009-2012 <i>(Two years data, combined, for each study year)*</i>
Core Measures Mean Percent Heart Attack (AMI), Heart Failure (HF), Pneumonia (PN), Surgical Care (Surg)	CMS Hospital Compare Q2 Releases Federal Fiscal Years 2008-2012
30 Day Mortality Rates 30 Day Readmission Rates (AMI, HF, PN)	CMS Hospital Compare 2012 Q3 Release 3 yr combined data set: July 1, 2009-June 30, 2012 <i>(Trend data not available)</i>
Average Length of Stay (ALOS)	MedPAR FFY 2009-2012
HCAHPS (Overall Rating Question)	CMS Hospital Compare Q3 Release Calendar Years 2008-2012

*Data were grouped as follows: 2012-2011; 2011-2010; 2010-2009
(doubled to improve trend reliability)



15 TOP HEALTH SYSTEMS, 2014 MATRIX GRAPHS - OVERVIEW

- The Performance Matrix graphs, in a single view, display each system's 2012 level of achievement (Current) and 2008-2012* rate of improvement (Trend)
 - Each health system is ranked, by measure and overall, versus its comparison group
 - Ranks are graphed as percentiles for both Current and Trend performance
- This integrated performance comparison provides insight into the success of your performance improvement strategies relative to your comparison group, overall, and relative to your selected competitor health systems

*2009-2012 for mortality, complications, PSI and length of stay Trend




COMPETITOR PROFILE INCLUDED HEALTH SYSTEMS

- **Profiled Health System**
- Competitor 1
- Competitor 2
- Competitor 3
- Competitor 4
- Competitor 5
- Competitor 6
- Competitor 7

HEALTH SYSTEM COMPETITOR PROFILE OVERALL PERFORMANCE

2012 PERFORMANCE VERSUS RATE OF IMPROVEMENT



Health System Key

- 1 Profiled Health System
- 2 Competitor 1
- 3 Competitor 2
- 4 Competitor 3
- 5 Competitor 4
- 6 Competitor 5
- 7 Competitor 6
- 8 Competitor 7


Quintile Performance Key:

Quintile	Percentile Range	Performance Level
1	>80 to 100	Best
2	>60 to 80	
3	>40 to 60	
4	>20 to 40	
5	> 0 to 20	Worst

HEALTH SYSTEM COMPETITOR PROFILE

MORTALITY

2012 PERFORMANCE VERSUS RATE OF IMPROVEMENT



Health System Key


- 1 Profiled Health System
- 2 Competitor 1
- 3 Competitor 2
- 4 Competitor 3
- 5 Competitor 4
- 6 Competitor 5
- 7 Competitor 6
- 8 Competitor 7

Quintile Performance Key:

Quintile	Percentile Range	Performance Level
1	>80 to 100	Best
2	>60 to 80	
3	>40 to 60	
4	>20 to 40	
5	> 0 to 20	Worst

HEALTH SYSTEM COMPETITOR PROFILE COMPLICATIONS

2012 PERFORMANCE VERSUS RATE OF IMPROVEMENT



Health System Key

- 1 Profiled Health System
- 2 Competitor 1
- 3 Competitor 2
- 4 Competitor 3
- 5 Competitor 4
- 6 Competitor 5
- 7 Competitor 6
- 8 Competitor 7


Quintile Performance Key:

Quintile	Percentile Range	Performance Level
1	>80 to 100	Best
2	>60 to 80	
3	>40 to 60	
4	>20 to 40	
5	> 0 to 20	Worst

HEALTH SYSTEM COMPETITOR PROFILE

PATIENT SAFETY

2012 PERFORMANCE VERSUS RATE OF IMPROVEMENT



Health System Key

- 1 Profiled Health System
- 2 Competitor 1
- 3 Competitor 2
- 4 Competitor 3
- 5 Competitor 4
- 6 Competitor 5
- 7 Competitor 6
- 8 Competitor 7


Quintile Performance Key:

Quintile	Percentile Range	Performance Level
1	>80 to 100	Best
2	>60 to 80	
3	>40 to 60	
4	>20 to 40	
5	> 0 to 20	Worst

HEALTH SYSTEM COMPETITOR PROFILE

CORE MEASURES

2012 PERFORMANCE VERSUS RATE OF IMPROVEMENT



Health System Key

- 1 Profiled Health System
- 2 Competitor 1
- 3 Competitor 2
- 4 Competitor 3
- 5 Competitor 4
- 6 Competitor 5
- 7 Competitor 6
- 8 Competitor 7


Quintile Performance Key:

Quintile	Percentile Range	Performance Level
1	>80 to 100	Best
2	>60 to 80	
3	>40 to 60	
4	>20 to 40	
5	> 0 to 20	Worst

HEALTH SYSTEM COMPETITOR PROFILE

AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY

2012 PERFORMANCE VERSUS RATE OF IMPROVEMENT



Health System Key

- 1 Profiled Health System
- 2 Competitor 1
- 3 Competitor 2
- 4 Competitor 3
- 5 Competitor 4
- 6 Competitor 5
- 7 Competitor 6
- 8 Competitor 7


Quintile Performance Key:

Quintile	Percentile Range	Performance Level
1	>80 to 100	Best
2	>60 to 80	
3	>40 to 60	
4	>20 to 40	
5	> 0 to 20	Worst

HEALTH SYSTEM COMPETITOR PROFILE

HCAHPS (Overall Hospital Rating)

2012 PERFORMANCE VERSUS RATE OF IMPROVEMENT



Health System Key

- 1 Profiled Health System
- 2 Competitor 1
- 3 Competitor 2
- 4 Competitor 3
- 5 Competitor 4
- 6 Competitor 5
- 7 Competitor 6
- 8 Competitor 7

Quintile Performance Key:

Quintile	Percentile Range	Performance Level
1	>80 to 100	Best
2	>60 to 80	
3	>40 to 60	
4	>20 to 40	
5	> 0 to 20	Worst